

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/006,992	12/06/2001	Lawrence W. Stark	018158-018610US	1090		
20350 75	590 03/27/2008		EXAMINER			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP						
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER						
EIGHTH FLOO	OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10006992	12/6/2001	STARK ET AL.	018158-018610US

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER			
david shay			
ART UNIT	PAPER		
3735	12232007		

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Commissioner for Patents

With respect to the Rely Brief filed April 9, 2007, two things are noted: 1) the Examiner's Answer mailed out July 10, 2007 was sent out in response to an order by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, as the original Examiner's Answer was indevertedly mailed without the required signatures, thus the Examiner's Answer mailed July 10, 2007 was not mailed in response to the Reply Brief filed April 9, 2007; 2) due to the arguments in the Reply Brief separately arguing the patentability of dependent claim; almost requirement for the mapping of the disclosure to the independent and separately argued dependent claims; dirifying each means plus function or step plus function under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph and/or set forth the structure materials or acts described in the specification as corresponding to the to the claimed function with specific reference to page and line number of to the drawings, if any by reference number, is no longer satisfied by the description of the invention submitted in the Brief filed October 27, 2007. It is noted that the newly separately argued claim includes a step plus function recliations and thus the requirements with respect to such recitations set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v) must also be satisfied with respect to this recitation.

/david shay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3735